

# United States-Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER QE.PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/804,457		03/12/2001	Michael P. Maher	AUROBIO.026A	8759
20995	7590	10/21/2002			
KNOBBE	MARTEN	NS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				MURPHY, JOSEPH F	
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1646	
•				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		09/804,457	MAHER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Joseph F Murphy	1646				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	ulv 2002					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)⊠ A	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.</u>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1646

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-29 in Paper No. 7, 7/30/2002 is acknowledged. Claims 30-48 were cancelled in Paper No. 7, 7/30/2002. Claims 1-29 are pending and under consideration.

# Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The U.S. patent applications which are to be incorporated by reference are not listed on page 1, lines 10-21.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "insignificant" in claim 24 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

The term "insignificant" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably



Art Unit: 1646

apprised of the metes and bounds of the invention. Claim 25 is rejected insofar as they depend on the recitation of the term "insignificant".

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term "biological activity". The term "biological activity" is not defined by the claim, but give no definition of what this activity is. Various biological activities can be attributed to a compound. For example, "activity" could constitute transportation throughout a cell, effects on osmotic pressure, or non-specific binding.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).



Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez et al. (1995) in view of Reiner et al. (1995).

Gonzalez et al. teaches a method for achieving fast ratiometric voltage-sensitive fluorescence changes in single cells using fluorescence resonance energy transfer. The mechanism is based on hydrophobic fluorescent anions that rapidly redistribute from one face of the plasma membrane to the other according to the Nernst equation (Gonzalez at 1272). In this method L-M(TK-) fibroblasts were loaded with DISBAC(3), a fluorescent dye to monitor membrane potential transients, and coated with fluorescein-labeled wheat germ agglutinin (Ibid. at 1273), this pair serves as the donor-acceptor pair for the energy transfer. The L-M(TK-) cells have low background currents (Ibid. at 1275). The method teaches the measurement of fluorescent changes of the DISBAC(3) in response to voltage changes (Ibid at 1276, Figure 4). The change in the transmembrane potential is measured without the use of the patch clamp technique. In this Figure, the voltage steps are applied with the patch clamp technique, while the output is measured by monitoring the fluorescence. The electric field would not vary over the area of observation, which in this case is a single cell. Gonzalez further teaches monitoring the fluorescence intensity changes, indicative of membrane potential, in response to square wave step depolarizations from the -70 mV holding potential to 40, 80, 120 and 160 mV (Ibid at 1277, Figure 6). Again, the voltage steps are applied with the patch clamp technique, while the indicia of membrane potential is the fluorescence intensity. The step potentials are applied for 500 milliseconds. Gonzalez et al. further teaches the practice of this method in neonatal cardiac myocytes, which comprise voltage gated ion channels, which are activated upon depolarization (Ibid. at 1278, Figure 8).



Art Unit: 1646

Gonzalez does not teach characterizing the effect of a compound on ion channel activity of a compound with this method. Renier et al. teaches a method to evaluate expression of functional CFTR. The technique uses the potential-sensitive probe DISBAC2(3), by single-cell fluorescence imaging. The DISBAC(3) method was first validated on the mouse mammary tumor cell line C127, stably expressing wild-type CFTR (Renier at 1278, Figure 1). Activation of protein kinase A by the cAMP-permeable analogue 8-Br-cAMP induced cell membrane depolarization consistent with expression of wild-type CFTR. The effect of 8-Br-cAMP on A549 cells transfected with adenovirus encoding CFTR was then measured (Ibid. at 1279, Figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to practice a method of characterizing the effect of a compound on ion channel function by exposing a cell expressing the ion channel to alterations in the electric field and measuring the effect on the membrane potential with fluorescent dyes. The motivation is provided in the Renier reference which teaches that the DISBAC(3) method is quick, simple, and reproducible, and does not require invasive cell loading procedures (Ibid. at 1275). The expectation of success is provided in the Gonzalez reference which teaches that voltage indicators based on FRET may already be practically useful and that modest, rationally attainable improvements in sensitivity and speed could make them superior for many biological applications.

#### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1646

## **Advisory Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Murphy whose telephone number is 703-305-7245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 703-308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

October 8, 2002